REMARKS

Claims 31-51 were previously pending in the present application. Claims 1-30 were previously canceled without prejudice. By this Amendment,

Applicant cancels claims 31-51 without prejudice, and submits new claims 52-66.

Consideration of pending claims 52-66 is respectfully requested.

I. Rejection of Claims 31, 32, 39, 45, 47 and 51 Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

The Examiner has rejected claims 31, 32, 39, 45, 47 and 51 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Aronson (HTML 3 Manual of Style, 1995).

Applicant respectfully disagrees. However, in the interests of hastening allowance of the present application, Applicant hereby cancels claims 31, 32, 39, 45, 47 and 51 without prejudice. Applicant reserves the right to prosecute those claims or claims of similar scope in a continuation application. In view of the cancellation of the rejected claims, Applicant submits that the Examiner's rejection is now moot.

II. Objection to Claims 33-38, 40-44, 46 and 48-50

The Examiner has objected to claims 33-38, 40-44, 46 and 48-50 as being dependent on rejected base claims, but states that those claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including the limitation of the base

P2127C2 14 of 16 10010.945CPAC

claims and any intervening claims. Applicant hereby cancels claims 33-38, 40-44, 46 and 48-50 without prejudice, obviating the Examiner's objection.

III. New Claims 52-66

Applicant hereby submits new claims 52-66 for consideration.

Independent claims 52-53 and 58-65 correspond to previous claims 33-34, 40-44, 46 and 48-49, respectively rewritten in independent form with the limitations of respective base claims and intervening claims. Applicant submits that new claims 52-53 and 58-65 are thus allowable, in view of the Examiner's statements regarding objected claims 33-34, 40-44, 46 and 48-49. Further, dependent claims 54-57 and 66, being dependent on allowable base claims, are also allowable for the foregoing reasons.

P2127C2 15 of 16 10010.945CPAC

Appl. No. 09/842,284 Response Dated May 25, 2005 Reply to Office Action Dated Feb. 25, 2005

IV. <u>Conclusion</u>

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that the Examiner's objections and rejections are obviated, and pending claims 52-66 are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued.

Respectfully submitted,

THE HECKER LAW GROUP

Date: May 25, 2005

Todd N. Snyder Reg. No. 41,320

THE HECKER LAW GROUP, PLC 1925 Century Park East, Suite 2300 Los Angeles, California 90067 (310) 286-0377 **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

This is to certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on May 25, 2005.

Signature: Albert Angelo Date: May 25, 2005

P2127C2 16 of 16 10010.945CPAC